

# Probing the Inner Disk Emission of the Herbig Ae Stars HD 163296 and HD 190073

Benjamin R. Setterholm<sup>1</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, John D. Monnier<sup>1</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Claire L. Davies<sup>2</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Alexander Kreplin<sup>2</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Stefan Kraus<sup>2</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Fabien Baron<sup>3</sup>,

Alicia Aarnio<sup>4</sup>, Jean-Philippe Berger<sup>5</sup>, Nuria Calvet<sup>1</sup>, Michel Curé<sup>6</sup>, Samer Kanaan<sup>6</sup>, Brian Kloppenborg<sup>3</sup>,

Jean-Baptiste Le Bouquin<sup>1,5</sup>, Rafael Millan-Gabet<sup>7</sup>, Adam E. Rubinstein<sup>1</sup>, Michael L. Sitko<sup>8,9</sup>, Judit Sturmann<sup>10</sup>,

Theo A. ten Brummelaar<sup>10</sup>, and Yamina Touhami<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Michigan, Astronomy Department, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA; bensett@umich.edu

University of Exeter, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Exeter, Devon EX4 4QL, UK

<sup>3</sup> Georgia State University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Atlanta, GA 30302, USA

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80303, USA

<sup>5</sup> Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IPAG, F-38000 Grenoble, France

<sup>6</sup> Universidad de Valparaíso, Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Valparaíso, Chile

<sup>7</sup> California Institute of Technology, Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

University of Cincinnati, Department of Physics, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA

<sup>9</sup> Space Science Institute, Center for Extrasolar Planetary Systems, Boulder, CO 80301, USA

<sup>10</sup> The CHARA Array of Georgia State University, Mount Wilson Observatory, Mount Wilson, CA 91023, USA

Received 2018 May 1; revised 2018 November 2; accepted 2018 November 5; published 2018 December 21

## Abstract

The physical processes occurring within the inner few astronomical units of protoplanetary disks surrounding Herbig Ae stars are crucial to setting the environment in which the outer planet-forming disk evolves and put critical constraints on the processes of accretion and planet migration. We present the most complete published sample of high angular resolution H- and K-band observations of the stars HD 163296 and HD 190073, including 30 previously unpublished nights of observations of the former and 45 nights of the latter with the CHARA longbaseline interferometer, in addition to archival VLTI data. We confirm previous observations suggesting that significant near-infrared emission originates within the putative dust evaporation front of HD 163296 and show that this is the case for HD 190073 as well. The H- and K-band sizes are the same within  $(3 \pm 3)\%$  for HD 163296 and within  $(6 \pm 10)\%$  for HD 190073. The radial surface brightness profiles for both disks are remarkably Gaussian-like with little or no sign of the sharp edge expected for a dust evaporation front. Coupled with spectral energy distribution analysis, our direct measurements of the stellar flux component at the H and K bands suggest that HD 190073 is much younger (<400 kyr) and more massive ( $\sim 5.6 M_{\odot}$ ) than previously thought, mainly as a consequence of the new Gaia distance (891 pc).

Key words: circumstellar matter - protoplanetary disks - stars: pre-main sequence - techniques: high angular resolution - techniques: interferometric

## 1. Introduction

Herbig Ae (HAe) stars are a class of intermediate-mass  $(1.5-5 M_{\odot})$  pre-main-sequence stellar objects characterized by strong excess emission in the near-infrared (NIR) and millimeter wavelengths, typically peaking around 3  $\mu$ m. Due to their young age and high luminosity, HAe stars are the ideal targets for observing accretion and planet formation processes in situ. The bulk of the NIR excess originates from within the inner few astronomical units surrounding these stars. Since even the closest HAe systems are located at distances exceeding 100 pc, the milliarcsecond resolution required to observe features on au scales is far beyond the capabilities of even the largest solitary optical telescopes. However, advancements made in long-baseline optical interferometry over the course of the past two decades have made it possible to probe these spatial scales.

Early HAe investigations of Hillenbrand et al. (1992), limited only to spectral energy distribution (SED) measurements, were able to reproduce the photometric NIR excess measurements successfully by assuming a flat, optically thick accretion disk that extends down to a few stellar radii. This theoretical picture, however, was not confirmed by the early infrared interferometry observations by Millan-Gabet et al. (1999) of AB Aurigae with the Infrared Optical Telescope Array, where they found NIR disk sizes many times larger than

predicted by optically thick, geometrically thin disk models. Natta et al. (2001) and Dullemond et al. (2001) put forward a new inner disk model where the star is surrounded by an optically thin cavity with a "puffed-up" inner rim wall located at the radius where the equilibrium temperature is equal to the dust's characteristic sublimation temperature. Additional interferometer measurements by Millan-Gabet et al. (2001), along with Keck aperture masking observations of LkH $\alpha$  101 (Tuthill et al. 2001), supported the idea that the bulk of the NIR disk emission comes from a ring located at the dust sublimation radius. With these results, Monnier & Millan-Gabet (2002) published the first "size-luminosity diagram," finding dust sublimation temperatures between 1500 and 2000 K, broadly consistent across the sample. The similarity between this characteristic temperature at  $\sim 1800 \text{ K}$  and known silicate sublimation temperatures indicated that the NIR emission is tracing a silicate dust sublimation rim. Dullemond & Monnier (2010) present a comprehensive overview of the theoretical and observational picture for the inner disks of young stellar objects (YSOs) that is still largely up to date.

The first submilliarcsecond interferometric observations (Tannirkulam et al. 2008) of HAe stars HD 163296 and AB Aurigae unexpectedly discovered that a significant fraction of the flux responsible for the NIR excess originated from well within the supposed dust sublimation radius. Moreover, they

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 869:164 (15pp), 2018 December 20

 Table 1

 Literature Stellar Properties and Photometry of Target Sources

Property	HD 163296	HD 190073
α (J2000)	17 <sup>h</sup> 56 <sup>m</sup> 21 <sup>s</sup> 29	20h03m02 s 51
δ (J2000)	-21°57′21.″87	+5°44′16″66
Spectral type (a)	A1 Vepv	A2 IVev
$T_{\rm eff}$ (b)	9230 K	8970 K
Distance (c)	$(101.5 \pm 1.2) \mathrm{pc}$	$(891 \pm 53)  pc$
Luminosity (d)	$28 L_{\odot}$	$780 L_{\odot}$
V mag (e)	$6.84\pm 0.06$	$7.79\pm0.06$
H mag (e)	$5.48\pm0.07$	$6.61\pm0.07$
K mag (e)	$4.59\pm0.08$	$5.75\pm0.08$

**References.** (a) Mora et al. 2001; (b) Kenyon & Hartmann 1995, based on reported spectral type; (c) Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018; (d) Monnier et al. 2006, rescaled to *Gaia* DR2 distances; (e) Tannirkulam et al. 2008.

found that it was unlikely that the distribution had a sharp edge with an illuminated inner rim. Subsequent observations (see, e.g., Benisty et al. 2010; Lazareff et al. 2017) have confirmed this basic result across a large number of HAe stars, in contradiction to the prevailing theory of HAe inner disk structure. Several phenomena have been proposed to explain this large amount of inner emission, including emission from refractory dust grains (Benisty et al. 2010) and optically thick free–free/bound-free emission from a hot accreting gas (Kraus et al. 2008; Tannirkulam et al. 2008). Further multiwavelength measurements of more HAe objects are required to determine the relevant mechanisms dominating the emission.

In this paper, we conduct a multiwavelength interferometric study in the H and K bands for two HAe objects: HD 163296 (MWC 275) and HD 190073 (V1295 Aql). A list of basic stellar properties and NIR photometry from the literature for these two objects is reproduced in Table 1, where we adopt photosphere temperatures based solely on the measured spectral type, as literature temperature estimates range on the order of a few hundred kelvin for both objects. Our interferometric data are collected on longer baselines with more complete (u, v) coverage than is currently available for HAe stars in the literature. The higher angular resolution better constrains the orientation and radial distribution of the material producing the emission than previous work, while the multiwavelength data probe the mechanism producing the mysterious interior emission. We analyze the measurements by fitting simple geometrical models to the brightness distribution; our goal in this paper is to characterize the sizes and general profiles of these stars rather than try to model small-scale details to which our data are not adequately sensitive. We then validate our interferometric model fitting by comparing to the object SEDs and compute new luminosity, mass, and age estimates of these YSOs. Finally, we speculate on the physical origin of the interior NIR excess emission.

# 2. Observations and Data Reduction

In this section, we describe and present the most complete published sample of broadband H- and K-band long-baseline interferometric data collected of the HAe stars HD 163296 and HD 190073 by combining interferometer observations conducted at various facilities. We will provide a copy of the calibrated data used in our modeling using the OIFITS format (Pauls et al. 2005) and uploaded to the Optical interferometry

Database (OiDb; Haubois et al. 2014) developed by the Jean-Marie Mariotti Center (Grenoble, France).

## 2.1. CHARA Interferometric Data

New observations were conducted at the Center for High Angular Resolution Astronomy (CHARA) interferometer (ten Brummelaar et al. 2005), located on Mt. Wilson, California, with baselines of up to 330 m and at various orientations. These data together yield a maximum nominal angular resolution of  $\lambda/2B = 0.51$  milliarcseconds (mas) in the *H* band and 0.67 mas in the *K* band, where  $\lambda$  is the filter central wavelength and *B* is the longest baseline length measured.

We used the CHARA two-telescope "Classic" beamcombiner instrument (Ten Brummelaar et al. 2013) to collect broad *H*-band ( $\lambda_{eff} = 1.673 \,\mu m$ ,  $\Delta \lambda = 0.304 \,\mu m$ ) and *K*-band ( $\lambda_{eff} = 2.133 \,\mu m$ ,  $\Delta \lambda = 0.350 \,\mu m$ ) squared visibility ( $\mathcal{V}^2$ ) measurements of our target stars between 2004 July and 2010 July. We supplement the eight nights of HD 163296 Classic observations previously published in Tannirkulam et al. (2008) with eight additional nights of data. We also present 16 new, formerly unpublished nights of observations of HD 190073. A summary of the Classic measurements is given in Table 2.

Squared visibility and closure phase measurements were also obtained with the three-telescope CLIMB instrument (Ten Brummelaar et al. 2013) between 2010 July and 2014 June. Broad *H*-band ( $\lambda_{eff} = 1.673 \ \mu m$ ,  $\Delta \lambda = 0.274 \ \mu m$ ) and *K*-band ( $\lambda_{eff} = 2.133 \ \mu m$ ,  $\Delta \lambda = 0.350 \ \mu m$ ) observations were collected over the span of 22 nights for HD 163296 and 29 nights for HD 190073; a summary of these measurements is given in Table 3.

The data from both Classic and CLIMB instruments were reduced using a pipeline developed in-house at the University of Michigan (available from the authors upon request). The pipeline is designed specifically for robust selection of fringes of faint/low-visibility objects such as YSOs. Squared visibilities are estimated using the power spectrum method, and spectral windows are user-selectable based on the changing seeing conditions. For CLIMB, the closure phases were averaged using short, coherent time blocks across the fringe envelopes weighted by the magnitude of the triple amplitude. The transfer function was monitored with regular observations of calibrator stars chosen to be single and nearly unresolved by the interferometer, selected with the JMMC SearchCal tool (Chelli et al. 2016). The pipeline was validated end to end using the known binary WR 140 (Monnier et al. 2011), including the sign of the closure phase.

Due to occasional sudden changes in sky or instrument conditions, the estimated calibration transfer function can be incorrect. While we are working on objective, automated procedures based on signal-blind diagnostics, we currently rely on detecting outliers based on sudden, unphysical changes in our data as a function of time or baseline coverage. While subjective, our outlier removal eliminated only 3.6% of the CHARA/Classic data and 2.1% of the CHARA/CLIMB data and should not strongly affect our fitting results. Lastly, a minimum error floor is applied to the data from the pipeline to account for expected errors in our transfer function estimation mentioned above. Based on earlier studies (Tannirkulam et al. 2008; Monnier et al. 2011) and a new study from CLIMB using WR 140, we apply minimum squared visibility errors of 10% relative error or additive 0.02 error, whichever is largest.

Target	Date	Configuration	Band	No. $\mathcal{V}^2$	Calibrator(s)
HD 163296	2004 Jul 9	S1-W1	Κ	2	HD 164031, HD 163955
	2005 Jul 20	W1-W2	K	2	HD 164031, HD 163955
	2005 Jul 22	W1-W2	K	3	HD 164031, HD 163955
	2005 Jul 26	W1-W2	K	4	HD 164031, HD 163955
	2006 Jun 22	S2-W2	K	5	HD 164031, HD 163955
	2006 Jun 23	W1-E1	K	2	HD 163955, HD 164031
		S2-W2	K	1	HD 163955, HD 164031
	2006 Aug 22	S2-E2	K	1	HD 166295
	2006 Aug 23	S2-E2	K	3	HD 166295, HD 164031, HD 163955
	2007 Jun 15	S2-W1	Κ	5	HD 161023, HD 162255
	2007 Jun 16	S2-W1	K	1	HD 164031
	2007 Jun 17	S2-W1	K	5	HD 156365, HD 164031
	2008 Jun 12	W1-W2	K	5	HD 164031, HD 156365
	2008 Jun 15	W1-W2	Н	3	HD 161023, HD 162255
		S2-W1	Н	2	HD 161023, HD 162255
	2008 Jun 16	S2-W1	Н	1	HD 161023, HD 162255
	2009 Jun 24	S2-E2	Н	3	HD 156365, HD 164031
	2009 Jun 25	S2-E2	Н	2	HD 156365, HD 164031
HD 190073	2007 Jun 8	W1-W2	Κ	6	HD 187923, HD 193556
	2007 Jun 9	W1-W2	K	6	HD 187923, HD 193556
	2008 Jun 14	W1-W2	Н	3	HD 187923, HD 193556
	2008 Jun 17	W1-S2	K	1	HD 187923, HD 193556
		W1-E2	K	1	HD 187923
	2008 Jun 18	W1-S2	K	2	HD 187923, HD 193556
	2009 Jun 19	W1-S2	K	2	HD 193556
	2009 Jun 20	W1-S2	K	2	HD 187923, HD 193556
	2009 Jun 22	W2-E2	K	5	HD 183303
		E1-S1	K	1	HD 183303
	2009 Jun 24	E2-S2	Н	3	HD 183303, HD 183936
	2009 Jun 25	E2-S2	K	5	HD 183303
	2009 Oct 31	W2-S2	K	5	HD 183936
	2010 Jun 14	W2-S1	K	2	HD 150366, HD 188385
		E2-S1	K	3	HD 187897, HD 189509
	2010 Jun 15	W1-E2	K	1	HD 189509
	2010 Jun 16	E1-S1	K	5	HD 177305, HD 177332, HD 188385, HD 189509
	2010 Jun 17	W1-S1	K	5	HD 141597, HD 188385, HD 206660
	2010 Jul 22	S1-E1	K	3	HD 188385, HD 189509

 Table 2

 CHARA/Classic Observations

Note. The "No.  $\mathcal{V}^2$ " column indicates the number of squared visibility measurements collected per the particular observation.

Generally for high visibilities, the relative error floor dominates the error budget, while the additive error comes into play for long-baseline, low-visibility points.

## 2.2. VLTI Interferometric Data

We augment our CHARA observations with squared visibility and closure phase measurements obtained at the Very Large Telescope Interferometer (VLTI) at Cerro Paranal, Chile. These data greatly increased the (u, v) coverage of our data set at intermediate-length baselines of up to 128 m.

We made use of archival data of HD 163296 and HD 190073 collected with the AMBER instrument (Petrov et al. 2007) spanning multiple nights in 2007–8 and in 2012, respectively (see Table 4). These measurements were recorded in low spectral resolution mode (R = 30) spanning the H and K bands. The data were reduced using amdlib (v3.0.9; http://www.jmmc.fr/data\_processing\_amber.htm) software, where we derived the K-band visibilities and closure phases. During the data reduction, only data with the 20% best fringe signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) were taken into account (Tatulli et al. 2007). Because the observations were conducted without a fringe

tracker, the data showed a typical spread of the optical path difference (OPD) between 20 and 60  $\mu$ m. To minimize the effect of the OPD spread and the resulting influence of atmospheric degradation on the calibrated visibilities, we used the histogram equalization method introduced by Kreplin et al. (2012). Even with these corrections, the majority of the AMBER data spanning the H band remained of low quality owing to poor calibration. Since we had access to superior H-band PIONIER data for both targets (see next paragraph), we excluded the AMBER H-band data in the present analysis and kept only the K-band data here. To maintain congruity with the CHARA observations, AMBER data with spectral measurements in the range of 1.995–2.385  $\mu$ m were collapsed to a single point by averaging the individual squared visibility values to simulate a broadband measurement (adopting the median wavelength of each spectral measurement set as the effective wavelength). The squared visibility errors on the collapsed measurements were taken to be

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{V}^2} = \frac{\sum_i^N \Delta \mathcal{V}_i^2}{N\sqrt{N-1}},\tag{1}$$

 Table 3

 CHARA/CLIMB Observations

HD 163290       2010 Jul 1       S1-S2-W2       K       11       1       HD 164031         2010 Jul 13       E-LE2       K       4       0       HD 163031         2010 Jul 13       E-LE2       K       4       0       HD 163031         2010 Jul 15       W1-E1-E2       K       14       0       HD 167053         2011 Jun 20       W1-W2-E1       K       14       2       HD 162355, HD 163955         2011 Jun 23       S1-W1-W2       K       28       4       HD 162255, HD 163955         2011 Jun 23       S1-W1-W2       K       28       4       HD 163955         2011 Jun 23       S1-W1-E2       K       21       3       HD 163955         2011 Jun 20       S2-W2-E2       K       43       5       HD 163955         2012 Jun 27       W1-W2-E2       K       23       5       HD 163955         2012 Jun 28       S1-E1-E2       K       42       HD 163955       1063955         2012 Jun 29       W1-F1-E2       K       42       HD 163955       1012231, HD 163955         2012 Jun 10       S1-S2-E1       K       14       2       HD 163955         2012 Jun 10       S1-S2-E1	Target	Date	Configuration	Band	No. $\mathcal{V}^2$	No. $T^3$	Calibrator(s)
2010 Jul 12         W1-E1-E2         K         7         1         HD 16/31           2010 Jul 13         I-E1-E2         K         7         1         HD 15/36, ID 16/40           2010 Jul 15         W1-E1-E2         K         14         2         HD 15/37, HD 170657           2011 Jun 15         W1-W2-E1         K         14         2         HD 16/225, HD 16/3955           2011 Jun 23         S1-W1-W2         K         28         4         HD 16/225, HD 16/3955           2011 Jun 23         S1-W1-E2         K         21         3         HD 16/3955           2011 Jun 30         W1-W2-E1         K         24         6         HD 16/3955           2011 Jun 30         W1-W2-E2         K         23         4         HD 16/3955           2012 Jun 27         W1-W2-E2         K         43         5         HD 16/3955           2012 Jun 28         S1-E1-E2         K         44         2         HD 16/3955           2012 Jun 10         S1-S2-E1         K         14         2         HD 16/3955           2012 Jun 10         S1-S2-W1         K         14         2         HD 16/3955           2013 Jun 12         S1-S2-W1         K	HD 163296	2010 Jul 11	S1-S2-W2	K	11	1	HD 164031
2010 Jul 13         E.I-E2         K         4         0         HD 155733, HD 164104           2010 Jul 15         WI-E1-E2         K         14         2         HD 159733, HD 170657           2011 Jun 15         WI-EV-E1         K         14         2         HD 162255, HD 163955           2011 Jun 23         S1-WI-W2         K         28         4         HD 162255, HD 163955           2011 Jun 23         S1-WI-W2         K         21         3         HD 163955           2011 Jun 30         W2-E2         K         35         5         HD 163955           2012 Jun 27         S1-WI-F2         K         28         5         HD 163955           2012 Jun 28         S1-E1-E2         K         35         5         HD 15973, HD 163955           2012 Jun 29         W1-F1-F2         K         28         5         HD 15973, HD 163955           2012 Jun 20         S1-S2-F1         K         14         2         HD 163955           2012 Jun 14         S1-S2-F1         K         14         2         HD 163955           2012 Jun 15         S1-S2-F1         K         14         2         HD 159366           2012 Jun 20         S1-S2-F1         K<		2010 Jul 12	W1-E1-E2	K	7	1	HD 164031
2010 Jul 14       W1-E1-E2       K       7       1       HD 159734, HD 164104         2010 Jul 15       W1-W2-E1       K       14       2       HD 162255, HD 166895         2011 Jun 23       S1-W1-W2       K       28       4       HD 162255, HD 166995         2011 Jun 24       S1-W2-E2       K       28       4       HD 163955         2011 Jun 27       S1-W1-E2       K       28       4       HD 163955         2011 Jun 30       W2-E2       K       35       5       HD 163955         2012 Jun 27       W1-W2-E2       K       28       4       HD 163955         2012 Jun 28       S1-E1-E2       K       42       6       HD 159734, HD 160255         2012 Jun 29       W1-E1-E2       K       44       2       HD 163955         2012 Jun 30       S1-S2-E1       K       14       2       HD 163955         2012 Jun 30       S1-S2-E1       K       14       2       HD 163955         2014 Jun 1       S1-S2-W1       K       14       2       HD 163955         2013 Jun 16       W1-W2-E2       K       17       2       HD 163955         2014 Jun 9       W1-W2-E1       K <t< td=""><td></td><td>2010 Jul 13</td><td>E1-E2</td><td>K</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>HD 156365</td></t<>		2010 Jul 13	E1-E2	K	4	0	HD 156365
2010 Jul 15       W1-E1-E2       K       14       2       HD 167255, HD 163955         2011 Jun 20       W1-W2-E1       K       14       2       HD 162255, HD 163955         2011 Jun 23       S1-W1-W2       K       28       4       HD 162255, HD 163955         2011 Jun 24       S1-W2-E2       K       21       3       HD 163955         2011 Jun 30       W2-E2       K       23       HD 163955         2012 Jun 27       W1-W2-E2       K       23       HD 163955         2012 Jun 28       S1-E1-E2       K       35       5       HD 159734, HD 163955         2012 Jun 29       W1-F1-F2       K       42       HD 159734, HD 163955         2012 Jun 20       W1-F1-F2       K       14       2       HD 163955         2012 Jun 20       W1-F1-F2       K       14       2       HD 163955         2012 Jun 10       S1-S2-W1       K       14       2       HD 163955         2013 Jun 12       S1-W1-W2       K       21       3       HD 163956         2014 Jun 1       S2-E2       H       2       0       HD 163031         2014 Jun 1       S2-W2-E2       K       17       1       HD 164		2010 Jul 14	W1-E1-E2	K	7	1	HD 159743, HD 164104
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2010 Jul 15	W1-E1-E2	Κ	14	2	HD 159743, HD 170657
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2011 Jun 15	W1-W2-E1	K	14	2	HD 162255, HD 170680
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2011 Jun 20	W1-W2-E1	Κ	14	2	HD 162255, HD 163955
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2011 Jun 23	S1-W1-W2	K	28	4	HD 162255, HD 163955
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2011 Jun 24	S1-W2-E2	Κ	35	5	HD 162255, HD 163955
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2011 Jun 27	S1-W1-E2	K	21	3	HD 163955
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2011 Jun 30	W2-E2	K	3	0	HD 163955
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2011 Jul 1	S2-W2-E2	Κ	43	5	HD 165920, HD 163955
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2012 Jun 27	W1-W2-E2	K	28	4	HD 163955
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2012 Jun 28	S1-E1-E2	Κ	35	5	HD 159743, HD 170622, HD 163955
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2012 Jun 29	W1-E1-E2	K	42	6	HD 159743, HD 163955
2012 Jul 1       S1-S2-W1       K       14       2       HD 159743, HD 163955, HD 170652         2013 Jun 12       S1-W1-W2-E2       K       14       2       HD 170657         2014 Jun 9       W1-E1-E2       H       23       3       HD 163955, HD 164031         2014 Jun 1       S2-E2       H       2       0       HD 163955, HD 164031         2014 Jun 12       S2-W1-E2       H       7       1       HD 164031         2014 Jun 12       S2-W1-E2       H       7       1       HD 183936, HD 189509         2011 Jun 15       W1-W2-E1       K       21       3       HD 183956, HD 189509         2011 Jun 20       W1-W2-E1       K       21       3       HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498         2011 Jun 23       S1-W1-W2       K       25       5       HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498         2011 Jun 24       S1-W2-E2       K       7       1       HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498         2011 Jun 25       S1-W1-E2       K       21       3       HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498         2011 Jun 26       S1-W1-E2       K       21       3       HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498         2011 Jun 26       S1-W1-E2       K       21		2012 Jun 30	S1-S2-E1	K	14	2	HD 163955
2013 Jun 12         SI-WI-W2         K         21         3         HD 163955, HD 170622           2013 Jun 16         WI-W2-E2         K         14         2         HD 170657           2014 Jun 9         WI-EI-E2         H         2         0         HD 163955, HD 164031           2014 Jun 12         S2-WI-E2         H         7         1         HD 163056           2014 Jun 12         S2-WI-E2         H         7         1         HD 164031           HD 190073         2010 Sep 29         S2-W2-E2         K         17         2         HD 183956, HD 189509           2011 Jun 15         WI-W2-E1         K         23         2         HD 183855, HD 189509           2011 Jun 23         S1-WI-W2         K         23         2         HD 188385, HD 189509           2011 Jun 24         S1-W2-E2         K         49         7         HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498           2011 Jun 25         S1-W1-W2         K         21         3         HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498           2011 Jun 26         S1-W1-W2         K         21         3         HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498           2011 Jun 27         S1-W1-E2         K         21         3         HD 189509, HD 188		2012 Jul 1	S1-S2-W1	Κ	14	2	HD 159743, HD 163955
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2013 Jun 12	S1-W1-W2	K	21	3	HD 163955, HD 170622
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2013 Jun 16	W1-W2-E2	Κ	14	2	HD 170657
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2014 Jun 9	W1-E1-E2	Н	23	3	HD 163955, HD 164031
2014 Jun 12S2-W1-E2H71HD 164031HD 1900732010 Sep 29S2-W2-E2K172HD 1839362011 Jun 15W1-W2-E1K213HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 20W1-W2-E1K273HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 23S1-W1-W2K273HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 24S1-W2-E2K497HD 189509, HD 1883852011 Jun 25S1-W2-E2K71HD 1895092011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 29W1-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-W1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-W1K210HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 16 <td< td=""><td></td><td>2014 Jun 11</td><td>S2-E2</td><td>Н</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>HD 150366</td></td<>		2014 Jun 11	S2-E2	Н	2	0	HD 150366
HD 1900732010 Sep 29S2-W2-E2K172HD 1839362011 Jun 15W1-W2-E1K213HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 18W1-W2-E1K232HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 23S1-W1-W2K355HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 24S1-W2-E2K497HD 188385, HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 25S1-W1-W2K284HD 188385, HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 188385, HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 188385, HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 28S1-W2-E2K20HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 188509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K30HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852014 May 30		2014 Jun 12	S2-W1-E2	Н	7	1	HD 164031
2011 Jun 15W1-W2-E1K213HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 18W1-W2-E1K232HD 189309, HD 1883852011 Jun 20W1-W2-E1K273HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 23S1-W1-W2K355HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 24S1-W2-E2K497HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 25S1-W1-W2K284HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 1804852011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 1804852011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E2K20HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 1S2-W2K20HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K30HD 1883852012 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 16W1-W2-E2H120HD 188385<	HD 190073	2010 Sep 29	S2-W2-E2	K	17	2	HD 183936
2011 Jun 18W1-W2-E1K232HD 189509, HD 1883852011 Jun 20W1-W2-E1K273HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 23S1-W1-W2K355HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 24S1-W2-E2K497HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 25S1-W2-E2K497HD 189509, HD 1893852011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852011 Jun 1S2-W2K20HD 188385, HD 1890492011 Jun 29W1-W2-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K30HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-W1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1833852013 Jun 10W1-W2-E2H120HD 1833852013 Jun 10W1-W2-E2H120HD 1833852014 May 29W2-E2H		2011 Jun 15	W1-W2-E1	K	21	3	HD 188385, HD 189509
2011 Jun 20W1-W2-E1K273HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 23S1-W1-W2K355HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 24S1-W2-E2K497HD 1895092011 Jun 25S1-W2-E2K71HD 1895092011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 188385, HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K20HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 28S1-W1-E2K91HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K30HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 16W1-W2-E2K142HD 1883852014 May 30S2-W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 1897122014 May 30S2-W1-E1H111HD 18838		2011 Jun 18	W1-W2-E1	K	23	2	HD 189509, HD 188385
2011 Jun 23S1-W1-W2K355HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 24S1-W2-E2K497HD 189509, HD 1883852011 Jun 25S1-W2-E2K71HD 1895092011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 189509, HD 180385, HD 1904982011 Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K20HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Jun 28S1-W1-E2K91HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 28S1-E1-E2K426HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K210HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 16W1-W2-E2H120HD 1883852014 May 30S2-W2-E2H2412HD 188385, HD 1897122014 May 30S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 2S2-W1-E1H16 <td></td> <td>2011 Jun 20</td> <td>W1-W2-E1</td> <td>Κ</td> <td>27</td> <td>3</td> <td>HD 188385, HD 189509</td>		2011 Jun 20	W1-W2-E1	Κ	27	3	HD 188385, HD 189509
2011Jun 24S1-W2-E2K497HD 189509, HD 1883852011Jun 25S1-W2-E2K71HD 1895092011Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852011Jul 1S2-W2K20HD 189509, HD 1883852012Jun 27W1-W2-E2K91HD 189509, HD 1883852012Jun 27W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852012Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852013Jun 10W1-W2K213HD 189509, HD 1883852013Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013Jun 16W1-W2-E2K142HD 1883852014May 30S2-W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 1897122014May 30S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 1897122014Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 189712		2011 Jun 23	S1-W1-W2	K	35	5	HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498
2011 Jun 25S1-W2-E2K71HD 1895092011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 188385, HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jul 28S1-W2-E1K210HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Aug 3S1-W1-E2K91HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 28S1-E1-E2K426HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K213HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 16W1-W2-E2K142HD 1883852014 May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 1897122014 May 30S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 2S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2 <td< td=""><td></td><td>2011 Jun 24</td><td>S1-W2-E2</td><td>K</td><td>49</td><td>7</td><td>HD 189509, HD 188385</td></td<>		2011 Jun 24	S1-W2-E2	K	49	7	HD 189509, HD 188385
2011 Jun 26S1-W1-W2K284HD 188385, HD 189509, HD 189509, HD 1904982011 Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852011 Jul 1S2-W2K20HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Aug 3S1-W1-E2K91HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 28S1-E1-E2K426HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K30HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K210HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852014 May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 1904982014 May 30S2-W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 3S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H23 <td></td> <td>2011 Jun 25</td> <td>S1-W2-E2</td> <td>Κ</td> <td>7</td> <td>1</td> <td>HD 189509</td>		2011 Jun 25	S1-W2-E2	Κ	7	1	HD 189509
2011 Jun 27S1-W1-E2K213HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 1904982011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852011 Jul 1S2-W2K20HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Aug 3S1-W1-E2K91HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 28S1-E1-E2K426HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jul 1S1-S2-W1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K210HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 16W1-W2-E2K142HD 1883852014 May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 1897122014 May 30S2-W2-E2H2412HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 2S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712		2011 Jun 26	S1-W1-W2	Κ	28	4	HD 188385, HD 189509, HD 190498
2011 Jun 28S1-W2-E1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852011 Jul 1S2-W2K20HD 188385, HD 1895092011 Aug 3S1-W1-E2K91HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 28S1-E1-E2K426HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jul 1S1-S2-W1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K210HD 1883852013 Jun 12S1-W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 12S1-W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 12S1-W1-W2K142HD 1883852014 May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 1897122014 May 30S2-W2-E2H2412HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 2S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712		2011 Jun 27	S1-W1-E2	Κ	21	3	HD 189509, HD 188385, HD 190498
2011 Jul 1S2-W2K20HD 188385, HD 189509 $2011$ Aug 3S1-W1-E2K91HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 28S1-E1-E2K426HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 1S1-S2-W1K213HD 189509, HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 10W1-W2K210HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 16W1-W2K71HD 188385 $2014$ May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 190498 $2014$ May 30S2-W2-E2H2412HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 2S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 180712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E2H23<		2011 Jun 28	S1-W2-E1	Κ	21	3	HD 189509, HD 188385
2011 Aug 3S1-W1-E2K91HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 1883852012 Jun 28S1-E1-E2K426HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jul 1S1-S2-W1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K210HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 16W1-W2-E2K142HD 1883852014 May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 2S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712		2011 Jul 1	S2-W2	K	2	0	HD 188385, HD 189509
2012 Jun 27W1-W2-E2K355HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 28S1-E1-E2K426HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jul 1S1-S2-W1K213HD 189509, HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 9W1-W2K210HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 10W1-W2K210HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 12S1-W1-W2K71HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 16W1-W2-E2K142HD 188385 $2014$ May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ May 30S2-W2-E2H2412HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 2S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E1H2312HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712		2011 Aug 3	S1-W1-E2	Κ	9	1	HD 189509, HD 188385
2012 Jun 28S1-E1-E2K426HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 29W1-E1-E2K355HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 188385 $2012$ Jul 1S1-S2-W1K213HD 189509, HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 10W1-W2K210HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 12S1-W1-W2K71HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 16W1-W2-E2K142HD 188385 $2014$ May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ May 30S2-W2-E2H2412HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 2S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 3S2-W1-E1H2312HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E1H2312HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E1H2312HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712		2012 Jun 27	W1-W2-E2	K	35	5	HD 188385
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2012 Jun 28	S1-E1-E2	K	42	6	HD 189509, HD 188385
2012 Jun 30S1-S2-E1K497HD 189509, HD 1883852012 Jul 1S1-S2-W1K213HD 189509, HD 1883852013 Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 1883852013 Jun 10W1-W2K210HD 188385, HD 1904982013 Jun 12S1-W1-W2K71HD 1883852013 Jun 16W1-W2-E2K142HD 1883852014 May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 1897122014 May 30S2-W2-E2H2412HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 2S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 1897122014 Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712		2012 Jun 29	W1-E1-E2	Κ	35	5	HD 189509, HD 188385
2012 Jul 1S1-S2-W1K $21$ 3HD 189509, HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 9W1-W2K30HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 10W1-W2K210HD 188385, HD 190498 $2013$ Jun 12S1-W1-W2K71HD 188385 $2013$ Jun 16W1-W2-E2K142HD 188385 $2014$ May 29W2-E2H120HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ May 30S2-W2-E2H2412HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 2S2-W1-E1H111HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 3S2-W1-E1H162HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014$ Jun 4S2-W1-E2H2312HD 188385, HD 189712		2012 Jun 30	S1-S2-E1	K	49	7	HD 189509, HD 188385
2013 Jun 9 $W1-W2$ $K$ $3$ $0$ HD 188385 $2013 Jun 10$ $W1-W2$ $K$ $21$ $0$ HD 188385, HD 190498 $2013 Jun 12$ $S1-W1-W2$ $K$ $7$ $1$ HD 188385 $2013 Jun 16$ $W1-W2-E2$ $K$ $14$ $2$ HD 188385 $2014 May 29$ $W2-E2$ $H$ $12$ $0$ HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014 May 30$ $S2-W2-E2$ $H$ $24$ $12$ HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014 Jun 2$ $S2-W1-E1$ $H$ $11$ $1$ HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014 Jun 3$ $S2-W1-E1$ $H$ $16$ $2$ HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014 Jun 4$ $S2-W1-E2$ $H$ $23$ $12$ HD 188385, HD 189712 $2014 Jun 4$ $S2-W1-E2$ $H$ $23$ $12$ HD 188385, HD 189712		2012 Jul 1	S1-S2-W1	Κ	21	3	HD 189509, HD 188385
2013 Jun 10       W1-W2       K       21       0       HD 188385, HD 190498         2013 Jun 12       S1-W1-W2       K       7       1       HD 188385         2013 Jun 16       W1-W2-E2       K       14       2       HD 188385         2014 May 29       W2-E2       H       12       0       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 May 30       S2-W2-E2       H       24       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 2       S2-W1-E1       H       11       1       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 3       S2-W1-E1       H       16       2       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E1       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712		2013 Jun 9	W1-W2	Κ	3	0	HD 188385
2013 Jun 12       S1-W1-W2       K       7       1       HD 188385         2013 Jun 16       W1-W2-E2       K       14       2       HD 188385         2014 May 29       W2-E2       H       12       0       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 May 30       S2-W2-E2       H       24       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 2       S2-W1-E1       H       11       1       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 3       S2-W1-E1       H       16       2       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712		2013 Jun 10	W1-W2	Κ	21	0	HD 188385, HD 190498
2013 Jun 16       W1-W2-E2       K       14       2       HD 188385         2014 May 29       W2-E2       H       12       0       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 May 30       S2-W2-E2       H       24       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 2       S2-W1-E1       H       11       1       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 3       S2-W1-E1       H       16       2       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712		2013 Jun 12	S1-W1-W2	Κ	7	1	HD 188385
2014 May 29       W2-E2       H       12       0       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 May 30       S2-W2-E2       H       24       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 2       S2-W1-E1       H       11       1       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 3       S2-W1-E1       H       16       2       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712		2013 Jun 16	W1-W2-E2	K	14	2	HD 188385
2014 May 30       S2-W2-E2       H       24       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 2       S2-W1-E1       H       11       1       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 3       S2-W1-E1       H       16       2       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E1       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712		2014 May 29	W2-E2	Н	12	0	HD 188385, HD 189712
2014 Jun 2       S2-W1-E1       H       11       1       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 3       S2-W1-E1       H       16       2       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712		2014 May 30	S2-W2-E2	Н	24	12	HD 188385, HD 189712
2014 Jun 3       S2-W1-E1       H       16       2       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712         2014 Jun 4       S2-W1-E2       H       23       12       HD 188385, HD 189712		2014 Jun 2	S2-W1-E1	Н	11	1	HD 188385, HD 189712
2014 Jun 4 S2-W1-E2 H 23 12 HD 188385, HD 189712		2014 Jun 3	S2-W1-E1	H	16	2	HD 188385, HD 189712
		2014 Jun 4	S2-W1-E2	H	23	12	HD 188385, HD 189712
2014 Jun 5 S2-W1-W2 H / 4 HD 188385. HD 189712		2014 Jun 5	S2-W1-W2	H	7	4	HD 188385, HD 189712
2014 Jun 7 S2-W1-W2 H 18 8 HD 188385		2014 Jun 7	S2-W1-W2	H	18	8	HD 188385
2014 Jun 11 E1-E2 H 4 0 HD 188385		2014 Jun 11	E1-E2	Н	4	0	HD 188385

Note. The "No.  $\mathcal{V}^2$ " column indicates the number of squared visibility measurements collected per the particular observation, and the "No.  $T^3$ " column indicates the number of closure phase measurements collected.

with *N* referring to the total number of measurements in each collapsed data point (typically 7) and  $\Delta V_i^2$  the pipeline estimated errors on the individual measurements. We then applied a minimum error floor of 10% relative error or additive 0.02 error, whichever is largest, adopted to be consistent with the errors chosen for the CHARA experiments. Finally, we applied our outlier removal, in accord with the CHARA data

procedure, which resulted in fewer than 3% of the data being rejected.

Additionally, we include in our data analysis recently published squared visibility and closure phase measurements from the PIONIER instrument (Le Bouquin et al. 2011) in the H band, conducted by Lazareff et al. (2017); see observations and reduction summary therein. Since these data were

Target	Reference	Date	Configuration	Band	No. Sets	Calibrator(s)
HD 163296	а	2007 Aug 31	H0-E0-G0	K	1	HD 172051
	а	2007 Sep 3	H0-E0-G0	Κ	2	HD 139663
	а	2007 Sep 6	D0-H0-G1	Κ	1	HD 172051
	b	2008 May 25	D0-H0-A0	Κ	8	HD 156897, HD 164031
	b	2008 May 27	D0-H0-G1	Κ	1	HD 156897
	b	2008 Jun 4	H0-E0-G0	Κ	2	HD 106248, HD 156897
	b	2008 Jun 5	H0-E0-G0	Κ	5	HD 156897, HD 163955
	с	2008 Jun 24	UT1-UT2-UT4	Κ	1	HD 156897
	d	2008 Jul 7	D0-H0-G1	Κ	5	HD 160915
	d	2008 Jul 9	K0-A0-G1	Κ	4	HD 160915
	e	2008 Jul 19	K0-A0-G1	K	1	HD 156897
HD 190073	f	2012 Jun 7	UT2-UT3-UT4	Κ	4	HD 179758, HD 188385

 Table 4

 VLTI/AMBER Observations

References. (a) Data set 60.A-9054; (b) Data set 081.C-0794; (c) Data set 081.C-0851; (d) Data set 081.C-0124; (e) Data set 081.C-0098; (f) Data set 089.C-0130.

conducted in a low spectral resolution mode (R = 15), we collapsed the measurements to a single value in the *H* band by averaging  $\mathcal{V}^2$  measurements (in like manner as we did for the AMBER instrument data in the *K* band). The PIONIER data consisted of three data points in the range 1.55–1.80  $\mu$ m. We estimated the squared visibility errors by applying Equation (1) and then imposed a relative error floor of 5% to each measurement to account for visibility variations within the *H* band in each measurement. No minimum additive error was applied. While these error floors are smaller than those applied to the Classic, CLIMB, and AMBER data sets, the overall data quality produced by the more recent PIONIER instrument is higher, as it employs a fringe scanning mode that is better able to correct for seeing effects than AMBER and the Classic/CLIMB instruments.

## 2.3. Keck Aperture Masking Observations

Finally, we include in our analysis archival short-baseline measurements of HD 163296 from the Keck aperture masking experiment in both the *H* and *K* bands, collected on 1998 September 29. These short-baseline data constrain the fraction of the total light distributed in a large-scale "halo" and also could detect stellar companions, if present. Detailed descriptions of the experiment and the data analysis method were published by Tuthill et al. (2000). No additional percentage or additive error floors were applied to the Keck data, which are dominated by systematic errors; all estimated  $\mathcal{V}^2$  errors exceed 12%, which is larger than any estimated seeing variations. Unfortunately, Keck aperture masking observations of HD 190073 were not conducted.

Note that the Keck masking experiment has a roughly five times larger field of view (FOV; FWHM ~ 1''/1''.3 for the H/K bands) for "incoherent flux" than the single-mode fiber combiner PIONIER (FOV FWHM ~ 0''.18 for the *H* band) and similar field of view to the CHARA combiners (seeing limited FOV FWHM ~1''-2'')—light beyond this FOV does not get included in the calibration of the visibility.

# 3. Data

# 3.1. Squared Visibilities

The combined squared visibility measurements and full (u, v) coverage from all instruments are shown in Figure 1 for HD 163296 and in Figure 2 for HD 190073. The (u, v)

coverages for both objects are rather well sampled in the K band, providing a sufficient number of points at all covered baselines to infer a good picture of the radial brightness distribution at sub-au scales. Nonetheless, there are notable gaps in the data. HD 163296 does not have excellent coverage at long baselines along the north-south direction but is well sampled otherwise. HD 190073 is missing intermediatebaseline information in the north-south direction, as well as intermediate/long-baseline measurements along the east-west direction. Moreover, due to the lack of Keck aperture masking measurements for HD 190073, there are no K-band (u, v)points at baselines shorter than 29.9 m, which are necessary to constrain the emission contribution due to a large-scale, overresolved halo component in the system. The (u, v) coverage for both objects in the *H* band is not as well sampled, particularly at long baselines.

We can learn important qualitative features about both objects by inspecting their visibility curves. For HD 190073, there are sufficient short-baseline measurements from PIONIER to show that any large-scale halo component present in the system contributes negligibly to the total flux received from the system, as the short-baseline points indicate that the squared visibility is consistent with unity at zero baseline, within instrumental uncertainties.

For both targets, at baseline separations exceeding 120 m, the squared visibilities remain approximately constant, especially in the K-band data; the lack of discernible oscillations about the asymptotic value at long baselines strongly suggests that the inner emission lacks sharp boundaries where the emission intensity changes quickly over a small radius range, a result first hinted at by Tannirkulam et al. (2008) for HD 163296 with a mere eight squared visibility measurements at long baselines. In the H band, at first glance it appears that there may be some oscillation about the asymptotic value; however, this is coupled with larger uncertainties than in the K band, owing to poorer seeing and a sparser (u, v) coverage, indicating that the disk profile in the H band may nonetheless be consistent with a smooth distribution as it is in the K band. Some high *H*-band visibilities for HD 190073 between 200 and 300 m may be due to miscalibration. Additionally, although our observations do not appear to coincide with periods of flux variability, we cannot rule out their occurrence within our data set (Ellerbroek et al. 2014).



**Figure 1.** *H*- and *K*-band squared visibility measurements of HD 163296 shown on the left and corresponding (u, v) coverage on the right. New data presented here are from Classic/CLIMB data sets (Tables 2 and 3) and from the AMBER experiment (Table 4). For the (u, v) plots, *u* increases to the left (east), *v* increases to the top (north), and the concentric axis circles are drawn with increasing radii in units of 100 m.

## 3.2. Closure Phases

The closure phase measurements for both objects in the H and K bands are presented in Figure 3. Per convention, each closure phase measurement is plotted with respect to the longest baseline in the bispectrum closing triangle, with the standard caveat that this is not necessarily the baseline along which the true Fourier phase is the strongest. Note that the AMBER and PIONIER measurements plotted in the figure have not been "collapsed" as they have been in the squared visibility case; instead, the full spectral range of measurements are plotted individually within their respective bands. To distinguish individual wavelength measurements collected at the same baseline, we plot spatial frequency rather than baseline distance along the abscissa.

We note that, for the most part, closure phase measurements with closing triangles on short to intermediate baselines are consistent with a value of zero, indicating that the brightness distribution is roughly point symmetric on larger spatial scales. At longer baselines, we see a departure in the presumed point symmetry, indicating that fine-scale structure is present, perhaps as a result of orbiting clumps in the inner disk. It is intriguing that we see no clear evidence of this fine-scale structure in the visibility curve, although the high data uncertainties, especially at long baselines where the S/N is on the order of 1-2, likely mask the expected variations. Finally, we note that the strongest closure phases, measured with the CHARA/CLIMB instrument, were conducted over a span of four years, during which time variability in the disk structure may have occurred. Thus, the presented closure phase measurements cannot necessarily be considered as a representative snapshot of the disk asymmetries at any given time.

# 4. Modeling

We fit simple geometrical models to characterize the global properties of the extent and configuration of the inner-au emission between our two targets. Our focus is, primarily, to compare the spatial sizes of the emission seen in the H and K bands without trying to impose a particular interpretation on the data. Therefore, we opt not to perform the sort of detailed radiative transfer modeling typically found in the current literature (e.g., Aarnio et al. 2017), based on many as-of-yet-unobservable chemical and geometrical properties of these systems.

While the new data presented in this paper provide the critical long-baseline information necessary to constrain the sharpness of features in the inner disk to sub-au resolution, the instrumental sensitivities of the squared visibility measurements are, unfortunately, not quite high enough to uniquely determine the true emission distribution. We are, however, able to paint a crude general picture of the surface brightness distribution in the inner few au of HD 163296 and HD 190073, which we hope will be able to provide a starting point for future radiative transfer modeling efforts.



Figure 2. H- and K-band squared visibility measurements of HD 190073. New data presented here are from Classic/CLIMB data sets (Tables 2 and 3) and from the AMBER experiment (Table 4).

# 4.1. Model Building

We tested three simple geometric emission models to fit to the squared visibility measurements, each composed of three components: a point source representing the unresolved star, an extended circumstellar emission component, and an overresolved halo component. These components provide fractional flux contributions  $f_{ps}$ ,  $f_{ext}$ , and  $f_{halo}$ , respectively, such that they sum to unity.

A crucial initial step to our model analysis was to de-project the data into a face-on orientation. To do this, our data were rotated and stretched onto an "effective baseline" by transforming the (u, v) coordinates of each measurement into a (u', v')frame via

$$\begin{pmatrix} u'\\v' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin PA & \cos PA\\ -\cos PA \cos i & \sin PA \cos i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u\\v \end{pmatrix},$$
(2)

where i and PA are the inclination and position angles of the disk, respectively. These quantities were left as free parameters for our fitting routine. With the data correctly de-rotated, the functional form of the total squared visibility measured at an effective baseline length b is given by

$$V^{2}(b) = [f_{ps} + f_{ext} V_{ext}(b)]^{2}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $V_{\text{ext}}(b)$  is a "primitive" function describing an extended emission component from Table 5 and the visibilities of the star itself provide a constant offset contribution since the diameters of the stars themselves are unresolved at the baselines we probe. Note that the halo flux contribution is not explicitly expressed in the equation; the addition of this component is to allow the model fits to have sub-unity visibility values at zero baseline. The halo, being over-resolved, is dominant at baseline separations smaller than the shortest baseline measurements available and in reality raises the visibility to unity at the origin while making a negligible contribution at the baselines measured.

Our extended geometrical models were chosen to distinguish between two general scenarios of the inner disk emission: either the emission is largely constrained to a thin ring, representing the illuminated inner rim of a truncated dust disk, or the emission emanates primarily from a region within this supposed sublimation radius. In the latter case, we fit two possible models: one in which the emission has a uniform disk surface brightness, and another in which the brightness distribution is Gaussian in form. In all cases, we allow the H- and K-band sizes to fit independently in order to best minimize their residuals; we note that this is the case even in our "inner rim" ring models, where the H- and K-band sizes would physically be expected to be the same.

We constructed all our models with point-symmetric brightness distributions. Strictly speaking, such an assumption is only valid if the closure phase measurements are all consistent with  $0^{\circ}$  or  $180^{\circ}$ , which is not the case in the present data, especially for HD 163296 at longer baselines. However,



Figure 3. Top: measured closure phases of HD 163296. Bottom: measured closure phases of HD 190073.

 Table 5

 Geometric Primitive Models

Name	Visibility $V_{\text{ext}}(b)$	Comment
Gaussian	$\exp\left[\frac{-(\pi\theta b)^2}{4\ln 2}\right]$	$\theta$ is the FWHM in mas
Disk	$\frac{2J_1(\pi\theta b)}{\pi\theta b}$	$\theta$ is the diameter in mas
Thin ring	$J_0(2\pi\theta b)$	$\theta$ is the diameter in mas

Note. These models assume point symmetry where  $b = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$  is the baseline in dimensionless units  $B/\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ . The building blocks were taken from Berger & Segransan (2007).

as we argued in Section 3.2, the closure phases likely indicate fine-scale asymmetries in the overall brightness distribution. Since our purpose in this paper is only to characterize the general large-scale emission profile's size and sharpness, choosing a point-symmetric brightness distribution is justified considering that the closure phases measured along the primary lobe in visibility in both targets are consistent with zero.

#### 4.2. Model Fitting

Each model was fit simultaneously to the H- and K-band data for each object. Because the emission component was assumed to be coplanar, the inclination and position angles of the extended emission component were fixed together. This is generally not desirable but was a necessary constraint, owing to the relative overall lack of H-band squared visibility data. Component flux contributions and size parameters were allowed to vary, but the sum of the point-source and extended emission fluxes (or, in other words, the expected halo contribution) was fixed together between the two bands, owing to the lack of reliable data at short baselines between the different instruments, which is partially a consequence of their differing fields of view. In the case of HD 190073, the data do not suggest the presence of a halo component. In fact, since nominal fits of the data for HD 190073 tended to slightly overshoot a value of 1.0 at zero baseline (a nonphysical result). we added an additional constraint that the overall summed total fractional flux contributions of the point-source and extended emission equaled unity there for this object, effectively eliminating any halo contribution.

Our fits employed the Levenberg–Marquardt gradient descent algorithm to minimize the l1-norm statistic. To ensure that we found the best fit, we initialized each model with 200 random sets of starting parameter values and selected the fit with the overall lowest l1-norm value. This statistic was selected over the more typical l2-norm as our squared visibility error estimates are non-Gaussian owing to seeing and calibration effects and the underlying covariance is generally poorly understood. Moreover, there are several obvious outliers

HD 163296 Results								
Model	Band	i (deg)	PA (deg)	$\Theta$ (mas) <sup>a</sup>	$f_{\rm ext}$	$f_{\rm ps}$	$\ell$ 1-norm/N	Comment
Gaussian	Н	$45.0^{+2.8}_{-1.1}$	$131.3^{+1.9}_{-2.3}$	$4.08\pm0.10$	$0.64\pm0.02$	$0.26\pm0.01$	0.85	Best fit
	Κ			$3.98\pm0.08$	$0.78\pm0.02$	$0.12\pm0.01$	0.81	
Uniform disk	Н	$43.7^{+2.3}_{-5.9}$	$124.5_{-3.2}^{+4.7}$	$5.85\pm0.28$	$0.51\pm0.05$	$0.30\pm0.02$	1.26	Underestimates short-baseline data
	Κ			$4.97\pm0.16$	$0.70\pm0.04$	$0.10\pm0.01$	1.14	
Thin ring	Н	$46.9^{+1.1}_{-6.6}$	$128.6_{-8.0}^{+5.2}$	$3.12\pm0.12$	$0.54 \pm 0.07$	$0.18\pm0.05$	1.98	Underestimates short-baseline data
	Κ			$3.20\pm0.28$	$0.60\pm0.06$	$0.11\pm0.02$	1.25	

Table 6

Note.

 $^{a}$   $\Theta$  indicates FWHM for the Gaussian model and the diameter for the uniform disk and ring models. See Section 4.1 for definitions of the remainder of the parameters.

Table 7       HD 190073 Results								
Model	Band	i (deg)	PA (deg)	$\Theta$ (mas) <sup>a</sup>	$f_{\rm ext}$	$f_{ m ps}$	$\ell$ 1-norm/N	Comment
Gaussian	Н	$32.2_{-4.08}^{+5.0}$	$81.6^{+5.6}_{-8.20}$	$3.44\pm0.37$	$0.63\pm0.01$	$0.37\pm0.01$	1.15	Best fit
	Κ			$3.67\pm0.04$	$0.82\pm0.01$	$0.18 \pm 0.01$	0.67	
Uniform disk	Н	$36.8^{+1.9}_{-2.7}$	$22.6_{-2.3}^{+5.9}$	$5.53\pm0.40$	$0.64\pm0.01$	$0.36\pm0.01$	1.26	Decent fit
	Κ			$6.07\pm0.11$	$0.82\pm0.01$	$0.18\pm0.01$	0.90	
Thin ring	Н	$50.9^{+1.5}_{-2.1}$	$48.0_{-3.9}^{+6.3}$	$4.28\pm0.16$	$0.59\pm0.02$	$0.41 \pm 0.02$	2.13	Overfits to poor $(u, v)$ coverage
	Κ			$3.63\pm0.14$	$0.88\pm0.01$	$0.12\pm0.01$	1.51	

Note.

 $^{a}$   $\Theta$  indicates FWHM for the Gaussian model and the diameter for the uniform disk and ring models. See Section 4.1 for definitions of the remainder of the parameters.

in the data set, such as squared visibility measurements with negative values due to bias corrections, to which the  $\ell$ 1-norm statistic is more robust. That said, the final fit parameters were not found to be significantly different when the fits were conducted with the  $\ell$ 2-norm.

The resulting parameter values and reduced  $\ell$ 1-norms of our model fits for HD 163296 and HD 190073 are presented in Tables 6 and 7, respectively. We estimated the uncertainties on the fit parameters by performing 1000 sets of bootstraps on the individual data points with 10 random sets of starting values in the neighborhood (of a few  $\sigma$ ) of each of the best-fit values using the same fitting procedure described above. Reported uncertainties in the fit parameters were found by locating the most compact 68% in the bootstraps and computing two-sided errors around the best-fit value of all the data. For all parameters except the inclination and position angles, the two-sided errors were nearly symmetric. It should be noted, however, that the stated errors are likely underestimating the true uncertainties owing to our neglect of possible correlated errors in our data sets expected as a result of seeing variations. Another concern is that incomplete (and in some locations, especially in the H band, very sparse) (u, v) coverage causes certain data points to have an artificially large weight on the final fit result, amplifying possible systematic uncertainties.

In Figures 4 and 5, we overplot the best-fit models on the deprojected interferometric measurement data with the modulus of the visibility along the ordinate and the effective baselines along the abscissa. While the fits themselves were conducted against the actual squared visibility measurements, visibility provides a better sense of the contribution importance of the various model components. As per convention, measurements recorded with negative squared visibilities retain that sign in the absolute visibility plots as well. We also inset stacked spatial image reconstructions for each of the 1000 bootstrap fit results in the aforementioned figures to give a visual sense of

Table 8	
Adopted Stellar Properties of Target Sources	

Property	HD 163296	HD 190073	
$\frac{1}{A_V}$	0		
Mass	$1.9 M_{\odot}$	5.6 M <sub>☉</sub>	
Radius	$1.6 R_{\odot}$	9.8 <i>R</i> <sub>☉</sub>	
$T_{\rm eff}$	9250 K	9000 K	
Luminosity	$16 L_{\odot}$	$560 L_{\odot}$	
Age	10.4 Myr	320 kyr	

the presumed spatial distributions and range of uncertainties in our model fits.

# 5. Results and Discussion

We found that, of the simple models we tested, the Gaussian surface brightness distributions of the inner disk systematically outperformed the other models, visually and statistically, in describing the squared visibility measurements for both targets. For HD 163296, where the visibility at zero baseline was allowed to fit as a free parameter, only the Gaussian model provided good agreement with the data at short baselines; the uniform disk and thin ring models significantly underestimated the visibility measurements. A key feature of the Gaussian model is that the visibility quickly and monotonically asymptotes to the flux value of the star, without any "ringing" seen at longer baselines. This description is consistent with the observed data, to within the degree of uncertainty assumed given the systematics of the instruments used. We note that the apparent ringing seen in the H-band CLIMB long-baseline data may be due to poor calibration and sparse (u, v) plane sampling rather than a real effect, as systematic errors are more prominent in the H band and the long-baseline K-band data are consistent with a flat visibility profile. While monotonically decreasing behavior at long baselines is not unique to Gaussian



Figure 4. Model fit results of HD 163296, with *H*-band fits on the left and *K*-band fits on the right. Comparison of the different models tested is shown vertically. The inset in each plot is a stacked image of the emission distribution generated by the individual bootstrap fit parameters.

models and also describes power-law-like models such as the pseudo-Lorentzian profile described in Lazareff et al. (2017), such models disagree with observations at short baselines, where the observed data indicate a concave-down visibility profile, whereas pseudo-Lorentzian models are concave-up.

The uniform disk models we tried fit fairly well to the data based solely on the  $\ell$ 1-norm values, although overall they were not as successful at describing the data as the Gaussian models.

For instance, in the case of HD 163296, where the fit visibility at zero baseline was allowed to vary as a free parameter, the disk models do noticeably underestimate data at short baselines. In the K band for both targets, the ringing at intermediate baselines predicted by the uniform disk models does not visually match the observations. At the H band, there appears to be better convergence between the model and the data at long baselines, but as mentioned earlier, this may well



Figure 5. Model fit results of HD 190073. See the caption in Figure 4 for details of the layout.

be due to sparse (u, v) coverage and instrumental systematic effects in the *H*-band calibration of the CLIMB data.

Finally, the thin ring models, which prior to the work of Tannirkulam et al. (2008) were the favored picture of the inner emission, performed the worst during the fitting process. In the case of HD 163296, the ring models dramatically underestimate the observed visibilities at short baselines. For HD 190073, the chosen inclination and position angles of the "best" fit appear to merely exploit our data's undersampled (u, v) coverage, and even still produce l1-norm values in H and K band that are larger than for the other models tested, respectively. It is interesting to note that the fits in Lazareff et al. (2017) favored a more ring-like emission profile for HD 190073 to that of the disk-like geometry we find in this work. It is difficult to assess the root of this discrepancy, which may point to deficiencies in our models since the true distributions are likely not exact Gaussians or rings. We do note that the discrepancies in fit position angles may play a role



**Figure 6.** SEDs of our target objects and point-source contributions at the *H* and *K* bands as inferred by the interferometric models tested in this paper. Note that the interferometric points are slightly staggered for clarity. Overplotted on the data are model photospheres of Castelli & Kurucz (2004) at a range of extinctions fit to *B*, *V*, and *R* photometric observations by Lazareff et al. (2017). Left (HD 163296): model photospheres with  $T_{\text{eff}} = 9250 \text{ K}$  and  $\log g = 4.5$ . Right (HD 190073): model photospheres with  $T_{\text{eff}} = 9000 \text{ K}$  and  $\log g = 3.0$ .

in the presence or absence of oscillations in  $\mathcal{V}^2$  characteristic of a ring-like geometry. Furthermore, HD 190073 is more susceptible to errors in fitting the position angle, as it appears to be more face-on than HD 163296. We point out that the longer-baseline CHARA data we examine in this work are better able to constrain the general geometry and orientation better than PIONIER data alone. However, the overall (u, v)sampling of the square visibility data presented in this work and especially in Lazareff et al. (2017) contains large gaps in coverage and is likely the most important factor in the discrepancies noted. We point out that our results for HD 163296 are in good agreement with Lazareff et al. (2017), indicating robustness between the methodologies employed in both works.

#### 5.1. Comparison to Photometry

In Figure 6, we use photometry collected by Tannirkulam et al. (2008) in 2006 June and by Lazareff et al. (2017) in 2014, in conjunction with NIR to mid-infrared SED measurements collected in 2007 June/July for HD 190073 and in 2011 March for HD 163296, first presented in Millan-Gabet et al. (2016), to construct crude SED profiles of the two objects spanning from near-ultraviolet to NIR wavelengths. The long-baseline interferometry allows us to estimate the flux contribution of the unresolved point-source stellar photosphere in the H and Kbands by investigating the asymptotic value of the visibility oscillations. We note that any interstellar dust reddening should not significantly affect the H-K color at the distances to the stars, so fitting model photospheres to the interferometry data has the twofold effect of testing the extended flux models and also determining the reddening to the source by extrapolating the NIR interferometry to measured visible fluxes.

We begin by modeling the photosphere of HD 163296. Due to its proximity to Earth (101.5 pc), the amount of interstellar dust extinction to the star is negligible (see Bayestar17;

Green et al. 2018). Using measured *B*-, *V*-, and *R*-band photometric points (Lazareff et al. 2017), we fit tabulated model photospheres of Castelli & Kurucz (2004) with the closest available temperature, 9250 K, and all logg values available (ranging from 1.5 to 5.0 in increments of 0.5). We do not use the *U*-band photometric point in the fit, as it is expected to be amplified by accretion shocks, nor points redder than the *R* band, as the disk contribution begins to dominate in the infrared. We can report that unpublished visible light interferometry using the CHARA-VEGA instrument confirms that (94  $\pm$  6)% of the *R*-band flux is coming from an unresolved source (private communication from Karine Perraut and Denis Mourard).

The *H*- and *K*-band flux contributions to the resulting model stellar photosphere SED match the slope and have values within errors of the points estimated by the Gaussian disk model (see Figure 6), further indicating that this is a good approximation of the true emission profile. From the photosphere fits, we infer the stellar luminosity and radius and note that all model photospheres (with different logg values) obtain radii within 3%. We compare these results to model isochrones generated by the PARSEC code (Bressan et al. 2012) to predict a luminosity of 16.1  $L_{\odot}$ , a mass of 1.9  $M_{\odot}$  with logg = 4.3, and an age of 10.4 Myr.

We repeat this process for HD 190073, using model photospheres with temperatures at 9000 K. Due to its greater distance (891 pc), we apply a reddening correction according to Cardelli et al. (1989), assuming a typical  $R_V = 3.1$ . We find that the Gaussian fit interferometric points at the *H* and *K* bands are consistent with  $A_V \sim 0.19$ , which is in excellent agreement with the Bayestar17 value of  $A_V = 0.186 \pm 0.062$ . Primarily as a result of the new *Gaia* DR2 distance estimate, we find that this star is incredibly young, at 320 kyr, and bright, with a luminosity of  $560 L_{\odot}$ . Our fits also suggest that this star is twice as massive as previously assumed, with  $M = 5.6 M_{\odot}$ 

and  $\log g = 3.2$  (formerly 2.84  $M_{\odot}$ ; Catala et al. 2007). We summarize our adopted stellar parameters for both targets in Table 8.

# 5.2. Disk Orientation

We note that the values we fit for the disk inclination and position angle of HD 163296 were consistent with one another for all three models tested. In particular, the values obtained with our Gaussian model fits ( $i = 45^{\circ}0^{+2^{\circ}8}_{-1^{\circ}1}$ , PA =  $131^{\circ}3^{+1^{\circ}9}_{-2^{\circ}3}$ ) agree well with values quoted in the literature of gas measured via millimeter interferometry (Flaherty et al. 2015:  $i = 48^{\circ}4$ , PA =  $132^{\circ}$ ) and dust from scattered light studies on large scales (Monnier et al. 2017:  $i = 48^{\circ}$ , PA =  $136^{\circ}$ ).

The situation is not as clear for HD 190073. While the Gaussian and uniform disk models found similar inclination angles, their fit position angles were incompatibly different. The thin ring model fit also produced radically differing values in inclination and position angle to the other two models. Unfortunately, there is not much available in the literature to compare our derived values to. Lazareff et al. (2017) found values of  $i = (30 \pm 4^{\circ})$  and  $\theta = (159 \pm 3)^{\circ}$  in their best-fit model; however, these values are highly suspect owing to a poorly sampled (u, v) coverage in their data set. Moreover, these data were used as part of the present analysis, and different results for the position angle were deduced. Since large-scale scattered light images or millimeter-wave ALMA images of HD 190073 have not yet been obtained, there is not yet an independent measure with which to test consistency. Fukagawa et al. (2010) included HD 190073 in their survey sample of scattered light targets with the Subaru telescope but obtained a null result in the poor seeing conditions where observation of the target was attempted.

We remind the reader that we tied the H- and K-band disk inclination and position angles together as a result of our limited (u, v) coverage. While the similar H- and K-band sizes for these objects suggest a common physical origin, there are mechanisms that might produce orthogonal position angles, such as dust caught in a disk wind. Future higher-quality data should investigate this possibility.

#### 5.3. Disk Sizes and Possible Emission Sources

Our Gaussian fit model for HD 163296 indicates that the inner disk is the same size in the *H* and *K* bands, within uncertainty, with an H/K size ratio of  $1.03 \pm 0.03$ . At a distance of 101.5 pc, the 3.98 mas FWHM from our Gaussian model has a physical size of approximately 0.41 au. For conventional large grains assuming our revised luminosity of  $16 L_{\odot}$ , we expect dust at this distance  $R \sim 0.20$  au at an equilibrium temperature of  $T \sim 1750 K$  (assuming dust wall backwarming, Monnier et al. 2005).

In the case of HD 190073, the Gaussian fits indicate an H/K size ratio of 0.94  $\pm$  0.10. At a distance of 891 pc, the 3.67 mas FWHM of our best-fitting Gaussian brightness profile in the K band has a physical size of 3.27 au. Using a revised luminosity of 560  $L_{\odot}$ , we find dust at the distance  $R \sim 1.64$  au has an equilibrium temperature of  $T \sim 1500$  K.

For both sources, the dust temperatures expected at the Gaussian FWHM location are close to the sublimation temperature for most dust species expected in the inner disks of YSOs. However, a significant fraction of the inner NIR emission appears to originate within the sublimation radius.

The current data set analyzed here does not yield any definitive conclusions pertaining to the dominant source of the inner emission observed; however, some insights may be gleaned via the fit results.

We examine briefly a few possibilities:

- 1. Conventional dust species might exist inside the expected dust evaporation radius as a result of shielding by optically thick inner gas in the midplane. Alternatively, some unidentified refractory dust species might exist that survive at T > 2000 K. Testing these hypotheses requires detailed radiative transfer modeling of the gas distribution in the inner sub-astronomical unit and is beyond the scope of this paper, although the similar sizes for the *H* and *K* bands qualitatively support this scenario since we would not expect strong differences in the emission between the *H* and *K* bands for these temperatures.
- 2. Transparent dust grains could exist close to the star without evaporating. For a giant star, Norris et al. (2012) inferred the presence of iron-free silicates close to the stellar surface within the expected dust evaporation radius. They suggested that species such as forsterite (Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>) and enstatite (MgSiO<sub>3</sub>) are almost transparent at wavelengths of 1  $\mu$ m, and we speculate that these grains might be found in YSO disks as well. Observations of YSOs in polarized light might identify the same scattering signature as was done by Norris et al. (2012) for mass-losing evolved stars.
- 3. Hot ionized gas within 0.1 au could be optically thick owing to free–free/bound-free opacity, as seen for Be star disks (e.g., Sigut & Jones 2007). Since A stars do not emit enough ionizing radiation to maintain a sufficient reservoir of ionized gas, there would need to be a local heating source in the midplane for this mechanism to be viable, possibly due to viscous or magnetic heating. This mechanism produces a sharply rising spectrum into the infrared and would have the largest impact on the differential *H*- and *K*-band sizes. If such an optically thick gas were located in a thin disk that extended all the way to the surface of the star, with an inclination of  $45^{\circ}$  in conjunction with the rest of the inner disk, this could in principle block up to 14% of the central stars' NIR flux.

## 6. Summary

We combined broadband infrared interferometric observations of HD 163296 and HD 190073 at the H and K bands collected at CHARA, VLTI, and Keck to present the most complete (u, v) sampled set of observations at the longest baselines available to date for these two objects. These observations allow us to examine the inner disk structures on milliarcsecond (sub-au) scales.

We characterize these observations with simple pointsymmetric geometric models to estimate the orientation, sharpness, and spatial emission distribution of the inner disk, fixing the inclination and position angle between the H and Kbands. We focus on extracting general, qualitative features concerning the multiwavelength emission geometry without a detailed radiative transfer analysis at this time. Our models, however, are able to constrain the basic size and profile of the surface brightness distribution in the few au immediately surrounding the central pre-main-sequence star. We find that a 2D Gaussian disk profile is best able to reproduce the squared visibility measurements collected for both targets in both the H and K bands, producing superior fits to both uniform disk and ring models. If the inner disk is optically thin, we confirm earlier indications that the bulk of the inner emission originates close in to the host star, well within the supposed dust sublimation radius inferred by SED modeling. In conjunction with AB Aurigae and HD 163296 previously studied by Tannirkulam et al. (2008), along with MWC 614 studied by Kluska et al. (2018) and HD 142666 studied by Davies et al. (2018), HD 190073 is now the fifth HAe object observed by CHARA with sufficient angular resolution to rule out a sharp, thin ring-like geometry for the bulk of the NIR disk emission.

For both HD 163296 and HD 190073, we find small, nearzero closure phases for all baselines probing the main lobe of the disk emission (baselines of <130 m), suggesting that the large-scale emission is point symmetric. That said, some triangles with longer baselines show significant nonzero closure phases that indicate asymmetries on the <2 mas scale. We speculate that asymmetries or clumpy emission in the inner disk could explain this and should motivate future monitoring campaigns to see whether these clumps exist and whether they show orbital motion.

We speculate on the origin of the innermost disk emission that is closer to the star than expected. One major new result here is that the *H*- and *K*-band sizes are nearly the same for both objects, within  $(3 \pm 3)\%$  for HD 163296 and within  $(6 \pm 10)\%$  for HD 190073. This points toward a single emission mechanism throughout the inner au such as thermal dust emission, as opposed to a combination of free-free gas emission close to the star and thermal dust emission farther out as has been previously suggested. We also highlight the possibility that the inner au could be filled, at least partially, with glassy grains nearly transparent at UV and visible wavelengths, but scattering/emitting in the NIR. With the advent of next-generation polarimetric modes on upcoming instruments at CHARA, we will be able to test this hypothesis by measuring the inner emission in scattered light.

Finally, we use the extracted point-source contribution of our Gaussian fit results along with measured photometry to model the photospheric contribution of our targets' SEDs, allowing us to estimate the age, mass, luminosity, and radius of the central stars. For HD 163296, we find that a photosphere with a temperature of 9250 K provides a fit to our interferometric data and measured visible photometry assuming zero interstellar reddening. For HD 190073, we find that a photosphere at 9000 K observed through 0.19 mag of visible extinction matches the interferometric and photometric data. Coupled with the new *Gaia* distance of 891 pc, we find HD 190073 to be a very luminous and massive young star with age <0.4 Myr.

J.D.M. and B.R.S. acknowledge support from NSF-AST 1506540, and A.A. acknowledges support from NSF-AST 1311698. C.L.D., A.K., and S.K. acknowledge support from the ERC Starting Grant "ImagePlanetFormDiscs" (grant agreement no. 639889), STFC Rutherford fellowship/grant (ST/J004030/1, ST/K003445/1), and Philip Leverhulme Prize (PLP-2013-110). F.B. acknowledges support from NSF-AST 1210972 and 1445935. M.S. acknowledges support by the NASA Origins of Solar Systems grant NAG5-9475 and NASA Astrophysics Data Program contract NNH05CD30C.

We thank William Danchi and Peter Tuthill for use of the previously unpublished Keck aperture masking data and also Paul Boley for his Python OIFITS module (available at https://github.com/pboley/oifits).

The CHARA Array is supported by the National Science Foundation under grant nos. AST-1211929, AST-1636624, and AST-1715788. Institutional support has been provided from the GSU College of Arts and Sciences and the GSU Office of the Vice President for Research and Economic Development.

This research has made use of the Jean-Marie Mariotti Center SearchCal (Chelli et al. 2016) service (available at http://www.jmmc.fr/searchcal), codeveloped by LAGRANGE and IPAG, and of CDS Astronomical Databases SIMBAD and VIZIER (available at http://cdsportal.u-strasbg.fr/).

This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission *Gaia* (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016) (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the *Gaia* Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, https://www. cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the *Gaia* Multilateral Agreement.

This research has also made use of the SIMBAD database (Wenger et al. 2000), operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France, and NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services.

Facilities: CHARA, VLTI, Keck.

*Software:* SearchCal, amdlib, OIFITS.py, Bayestar17, PARSEC.

# **ORCID** iDs

Benjamin R. Setterholm https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5980-0246

John D. Monnier https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3380-3307 Claire L. Davies https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9764-2357 Alexander Kreplin https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0911-9505 Stefan Kraus https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1327-9659 Nuria Calvet https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3950-5386 Samer Kanaan https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1190-4825 Brian Kloppenborg https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1190-4825 Brian Kloppenborg https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0350-5453 Rafael Millan-Gabet https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0447-5866

Michael L. Sitko https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1799-1755 Theo A. ten Brummelaar https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0114-7915

#### References

Aarnio, A. N., Monnier, J. D., Harries, T. J., et al. 2017, ApJ, 848, 18 Benisty, M., Natta, A., Isella, A., et al. 2010, A&A, 511, A74 Berger, J. P., & Segransan, D. 2007, NewAR, 51, 576 Bressan, A., Marigo, P., Girardi, L., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 427, 127 Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, ApJ, 345, 245 Castelli, F., & Kurucz, R. L. 2004, arXiv:astro-ph/0405087 Catala, C., Alecian, E., Donati, J.-F., et al. 2007, A&A, 462, 293 Chelli, A., Duvert, G., Bourgès, L., et al. 2016, A&A, 589, A112 Davies, C. L., Kraus, S., Harries, T. J., et al. 2018, ApJ, 866, 23 Dullemond, C. P., Dominik, C., & Natta, A. 2001, ApJ, 560, 957 Dullemond, C. P., & Monnier, J. D. 2010, ARA&A, 48, 205 Ellerbroek, L. E., Podio, L., Dougados, C., et al. 2014, A&A, 563, A87 Flaherty, K. M., Hughes, A. M., Rosenfeld, K. A., et al. 2015, ApJ, 813, 99 Fukagawa, M., Tamura, M., Itoh, Y., et al. 2010, PASJ, 62, 347 Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A1 Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1 Green, G. M., Schlafly, E. F., Finkbeiner, D., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 478, 651 Haubois, X., Bernaud, P., Mella, G., et al. 2014, Proc. SPIE, 9146, 914600 Hillenbrand, L. A., Strom, S. E., Vrba, F. J., & Keene, J. 1992, ApJ, 397, 613

- Kluska, J., Kraus, S., Davies, C. L., et al. 2018, ApJ, 855, 44
- Kraus, S., Preibisch, T., & Ohnaka, K. 2008, ApJ, 676, 490
- Kreplin, A., Kraus, S., Hofmann, K.-H., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A103
- Lazareff, B., Berger, J.-P., Kluska, J., et al. 2017, A&A, 599, A85
- Le Bouquin, J.-B., Berger, J.-P., Lazareff, B., et al. 2011, A&A, 535, A67
- Millan-Gabet, R., Che, X., Monnier, J. D., et al. 2016, ApJ, 826, 120
- Millan-Gabet, R., Schloerb, F. P., Traub, W. A., et al. 1999, ApJL, 513, L131 Millan-Gabet, R., Schloerb, F. P., & Traub, W. A. 2001, ApJ, 546, 358
- Monnier, J. D., Berger, J.-P., Millan-Gabet, R., et al. 2006, ApJ, 647, 444
- Monnier, J. D., Harries, T. J., Aarnio, A., et al. 2017, ApJ, 838, 20
- Monnier, J. D., & Millan-Gabet, R. 2002, ApJ, 579, 694
- Monnier, J. D., Millan-Gabet, R., Billmeier, R., et al. 2005, ApJ, 624, 832
- Monnier, J. D., Zhao, M., Pedretti, E., et al. 2011, ApJL, 742, L1
- Mora, A., Merín, B., Solano, E., et al. 2001, A&A, 378, 116

- Natta, A., Prusti, T., Neri, R., et al. 2001, A&A, 371, 186
- Norris, B. R. M., Tuthill, P. G., Ireland, M. J., et al. 2012, Natur, 484, 220
- Pauls, T. A., Young, J. S., Cotton, W. D., & Monnier, J. D. 2005, PASP, 117, 1255
- Petrov, R. G., Malbet, F., Weigelt, G., et al. 2007, A&A, 464, 1
- Sigut, T. A. A., & Jones, C. E. 2007, ApJ, 668, 481
- Tannirkulam, A., Monnier, J. D., Harries, T. J., et al. 2008, ApJ, 689, 513
- Tatulli, E., Millour, F., Chelli, A., et al. 2007, A&A, 464, 29
- ten Brummelaar, T. A., McAlister, H. A., Ridgway, S. T., et al. 2005, ApJ, 628, 453
- Ten Brummelaar, T. A., Sturmann, J., Ridgway, S. T., et al. 2013, JAI, 2, 1340004
- Tuthill, P. G., Monnier, J. D., & Danchi, W. C. 2001, Natur, 409, 1012
- Tuthill, P. G., Monnier, J. D., Danchi, W. C., Wishnow, E. H., & Haniff, C. A. 2000, PASP, 112, 555
- Wenger, M., Ochsenbein, F., Egret, D., et al. 2000, A&AS, 143, 9